Unresponsive wakefulness syndrome

S. LAUREYS, M. BOLY

Coma Science Group, Cyclotron Research Centre and Neurology Department, University of Liège, Belgium

ABSTRACT

Recent studies providing evidence for preserved awareness in some behaviorally unresponsive patients stress the need to improve diagnosis in patients with disorders of consciousness – and stress the possible dissociation between responsiveness and preserved consciousness. Because active paradigms can only bring information in the few cases where they return positive, a major effort is needed to setup ancillary markers evaluating the brain's ability to generate consciousness without requiring the patients' collaboration – in this context, research on neural correlates of consciousness and coma science progress hand in hand.

Key words Consciousness • *Vegetative state* • *Responsiveness* • *Active paradigms*

Unconsciousness or unresponsiveness?

Vegetative state (VS) is usually defined by wakefulness without any sign of awareness of self, or the environment (Laureys et al., 2004). Minimally conscious patients show non reflexive behaviors but remain unable to communicate (Giacino, et al., 2002). The presence or absence of consciousness is assessed at the patients' bedside by searching for non-reflexive behaviors in response to various types of stimulation (Seel et al., 2010). Assessing the level of consciousness of non-communicative brain-damaged patients is therefore difficult, as consciousness is a subjective first-person experience and one has necessarily to make inferences about its presence based on the patients' behavior (Laureys and Boly, 2008). However, behavioral responses of brain-damaged patients are usually limited not only by their cognitive dysfunctions, but also by their frequent motor impairment (Boly et al., 2007). The differentiation of minimally conscious state (MCS) patients from vegetative state is also very method-sensitive and a very high rate of misdiagnosis is present in the absence of use of an appropriate clinical scale to differentiate these patients (Schnakers et al., 2009). Furthermore, clinical scales themselves are quite variable in their reliability. A recent meta-analysis revealed that the Coma Recovery Scale - Revised (CRS-R) was the best attempt to explicitly incorporate diagnostic criteria for MCS in the bedside diagnosis (Seel et al., 2010). However, the reliability of the CRS-R itself depends on examiner experience (Lovstad et al., 2010), and this scale may be time-consuming to learn and administer in a routine basis. Finally, some clinical signs are still ambiguous and difficult to interpret: for example, the significance of blink to threat (Vanhaudenhuyseet al., 2008), visual fixation (Bruno et al., 2010), or grimacing to pain (Schnakers et al., 2010) are still poorly understood. These limitations of behavior - the possible false negative due to e.g. motor, language or vigilance impairment, the reliance on assessor experience, and residual ambiguous clinical signs interpretation -

Corresponding Author. Dr Melanie Boly, Cyclotron Research Centre, Allée du 6 août B30, 4000 Liège, Belgium - Email: mboly@ulg.ac.be

stress the need for a development of neural markers of consciousness in unresponsive patients (Laureys and Boly, 2008).

Paradigm shift: the use of active tasks in consciousness research

A first successful attempt to compensate for some limitations of behavioral assessment was to design active paradigms in order to bypass motor output when searching for a response to command in patients with disorders of consciousness (DOC) (Boly et al., 2007). The first tasks tested to be used in DOC patients were spatial navigation, and auditory imagery tasks - inspired by (Curran et al., 2004). Spatial navigation was found to be very reproducible at the individual level, while auditory imagery was less robust at the single subject level (Boly et al., 2007). Spatial navigation was then complemented by another motor imagery task - tennis playing - which was chosen to be both complex (and thus likely leading to stronger brain activation [Kuhtz-Buschbeck et al., 2003]), and easy to imagine. These two tasks, used together, allow a successful blind differentiation of rest periods, spatial and motor imagery tests in healthy awake volunteers (Boly et al., 2007). These active tasks were found to provide positive results in 50 healthy volunteers tested, but no response to only passive listening to the command words (Owen et al., 2007). They were now applied with success on a larger cohort of patients with DOC, leading to positive results in about 1/10 patients (Monti et al., 2010). It may also seem surprising that this paradigm did not seem to detect more MCS than VS, while other active paradigms did so (Schnakers et al., 2008). Other mental imagery tasks have been developed since then, also still undergoing validation process (Monti et al., 2009; Soddu et al., 2009; Sorger et al., 2009; Bardin et al., 2011). All the above cited fMRI paradigms offer the advantage that the employed block design - of typically 30 seconds render the response unlikely to be automatic and unconscious (Boly et al., 2007) (in contrast to more transient command-following fMRI or EMG paradigms [Bekinschtein et al., 2008; 2011]). Another issue is the existence of false negative in patients that are clinically responsive (Monti et al., 2010; Bardin et al., 2011). Future studies will have to quantify the sensitivity and specificity of the response-to-command seeking approaches, and try to develop similar paradigms that may be used at the patients' bedside, such as active paradigms using EEG/ERP or EMG (Bekinschtein et al., 2008; Schnakers et al., 2009; Cruse et al., 2011).

The consequence of the above studies is that they raised awareness on the fact that some patients clinically unresponsive, classified as in a 'vegetative state', were in fact most likely presenting some residual awareness of self and environment and were sometimes even able to communicate. This fact stresses the ethical need to be cautious about inferences on states of mind in non-communicative brain damaged patients. It led to the proposal to rename 'vegetative state' as 'unresponsive wakefulness syndrome' (Laureys et al., 2010). While most patients in a VS are unlikely to show only motor problems, but also some degree of altered cognitive functioning and decreased consciousness, caution is needed at the individual level - which is, in a clinical situation, the one that matters (Boly, 2011). Active paradigm studies probe clinicians to change the common view of patients with disorders of consciousness. They also reflect the frequent misdiagnosis that can occur in these clinical populations, as well as on the need for further research to improve diagnosis and treatment in these patients.

Back to the basics: the necessary consciousness science/coma science dialogue

To date, the gold standard for the diagnosis of VS and MCS remains the clinical assessment based on criteria described by (Giacino et al., 2002). Behavioral diagnosis is mainly based on patients' responsiveness; and, as previously mentioned, it has now been shown that a minority of totally unresponsive patients can be conscious (Owen et al., 2006; Monti et al., 2010; Bardin et al., 2011). On the other hand, the active neuroimaging paradigms used to detect these patients are also prone to false negative findings (Bardin et al., 2011). In principle, paradigms based on response to command, being clinical or neuroimaging-based, are not sufficient to systematically detect consciousness in each individual case (Boly, 2011). Indeed, it has been shown that volitional activity and consciousness can be

dissociated in many cases (Soon et al., 2008; Boly and Seth, 2012) (on another topic, see also results obtained using isolated forearm technique in anesthesia studies [Sanders et al., 2012]). In addition to these approaches, neural correlates of consciousness (NCC)-based paradigms, using the rich information already present in the literature on NCC, can provide information about the global brain function in DOC patients, and the probability that patients' residual brain function can lead to consciousness. A practical diagnostic algorithm tree would first start with behavioral assessment using standardized scales, as these remain the gold standard for diagnosis (Boly, 2011). Passive and active neuroimaging paradigms and NCC-based approaches could then usefully complement the clinical diagnosis.

Ultimately, a most accurate diagnosis of consciousness would require indentifying the mechanisms bridging conscious perception to the brain, then using for example neuroimaging techniques as a way to objectivate the presence of this mechanism. To achieve this aim, theoretical approaches attempting at describing the general mechanism for conscious perception (Tononi, 2008; Dehaene and Changeux, 2011) have a great value. However, a lot more work is needed in this field, and we are still a long way from bringing current theories to truly testable predictions (Boly et al., 2009). The aim of this issue is to promote a continuation of NCC and coma sciences dialogue, by gathering state-ofthe art knowledge on clinical and neuroscientific approaches in DOC states, by leading experts in the field. In this issue, Schnakers (Schnakers, 2012) will review current approaches and challenges to the clinical diagnosis of patients with DOC. Guldemund et al. (2012) and Lehembre et al. (2012) will review the state of the art of current knowledge concerning fMRI and EEG studies of brain function in DOC patients. As a generic marker of consciousness should aim to be generalizable to different etiologies of unconsciousness, the next papers in this issue will review current knowledge concerning NCC during anesthesia (Bonhomme et al., 2012), sleep (Goupil and Bekinschtein, 2012; Massimini et al., 2012) and epileptic seizures (Bartolomei, 2012). Recent updates on some influent theoretical approaches aiming at giving a coherent account of the various results of neuroimaging studies in DOC will then be presented (Sergent and Naccache, 2012; Tononi, 2012). Finally, NCC-based neuroimaging studies and related theoretical approaches need to be brought back to the clinical field and face the practical challenges of bringing theoretical sciences closer to the patients' bedside – the last chapter of the present issue will discuss various issues related to such a translational approach (Boly and Seth, 2012). We hope that this ground of work will provide a comprehensive review of state of the art knowledge and viewpoints concerning NCC in DOC and will stimulate insightful discussions and research in the field, in order to move further towards the challenging aims of improving both DOC patients' diagnosis and prognosis (Laureys and Schiff, 2011).

Acknowledgments

This work was supported by the Belgian Fonds National de la Recherche Scientifique (FNRS), European Commission, Mind Science Foundation, James McDonnell Foundation, French Speaking Community Concerted Research Action, Fondation Léon Fredericq, Public Utility Foundation "Université Européenne du Travail" and "Fondazione Europea di Ricerca Biomedica". M.B. is Postdoctoral Fellow and S.L. Senior Research Associate at the FNRS.

References

- Bardin J.C., Fins J.J., Katz D.I., Hersh J., Heier L.A., Tabelow K., Dyke J.P., Ballon D.J., Schiff N.D., Voss H.U. Dissociations between behavioural and functional magnetic resonance imaging-based evaluations of cognitive function after brain injury. *Brain*, **134** (Pt 3): 769-782, 2011.
- Bartolomei F. Coherent neural activity and brain synchronization during seizure-induced loss of consciousness. *Arch. Ital. Biol.*, this volume.
- Bekinschtein T.A., Coleman M.R., Niklison J. 3rd., Pickard J.D., Manes F.F. Can electromyography objectively detect voluntary movement in disorders of consciousness? J. Neurol. Neurosurg. Psychiatry, 79: 826-868, 2008.
- Bekinschtein T.A., Manes F.F., Villarreal M., Owen A.M., Della-Maggiore V. Functional imaging reveals movement preparatory activity in the vegetative state. *Front. Hum. Neurosci.*, **5**: 5, 2011.
- Boly M., Coleman M.R., Davis M.H., Hampshire A., Bor D., Moonen G., Maquet P.A., Pickard J.D., Laureys S., Owen A.M. When thoughts become

action: an fMRI paradigm to study volitional brain activity in non-communicative brain injured patients. *Neuroimage*, **36**: 979-992, 2007.

- Boly M., Massimini M., Tononi G. Theoretical approaches to the diagnosis of altered states of consciousness. *Prog. Brain. Res.*, **177**: 383-398, 2009.
- Boly M. Measuring the fading consciousness in the human brain. *Curr. Opin. Neurol.*, **24**: 394-400, 2011.
- Boly M. and Seth A.K. Modes and Models in Disorders of Consciousness Science. *Arch. Ital. Biol.*, this volume.
- Bonhomme V., Boveroux P., et al. Neural correlates of consciousness during general anesthesia using functional magnetic resonance imaging. *Arch. Ital. Biol.*, this volume.
- Bruno M.A., Vanhaudenhuyse A., Schnakers C., Boly M., Gosseries O., Demertzi A., Majerus S., Moonen G., Hustinx R., Laureys S. Visual fixation in the vegetative state: an observational case series PET study. *BMC Neurol.*, **10**: 35, 2010.
- Cruse D., Chennu S., Chatelle C., Bekinschtein T.A., Fernández-Espejo D., Pickard J.D., Laureys S., Owen A.M. Bedside detection of awareness in the vegetative state: a cohort study. *Lancet*, **378**: 2088-2094, 2011.
- Curran E., Sykacek P., Stokes M., Roberts S.J., Penny W., Johnsrude I., Owen A.M. Cognitive tasks for driving a brain-computer interfacing system: a pilot study. *IEEE Trans. Neural Syst. Rehabil. Eng.*, **12**: 48-54, 2004.
- Dehaene S. and Changeux J.P. Experimental and theoretical approaches to conscious processing. *Neuron.*, **70**: 200-227, 2011.
- Giacino J.T., Ashwal S., Childs N., Cranford R., Jennett B., Katz D.I., Kelly J.P., Rosenberg J.H., Whyte J., Zafonte R.D., Zasler N.D. The minimally conscious state: definition and diagnostic criteria. *Neurology*, **58**: 349-353, 2002.
- Goupil L. and Bekinschtein T. Cognitive processing during the transition to sleep. *Arch. Ital. Biol.*, this volume.
- Guldemund P., Vanhaudenhuyse A., et al. A default mode of brain function in altered states of consciousness. *Arch. Ital. Biol.*, this volume.
- Kuhtz-Buschbeck J.P., Mahnkopf C., Holzknecht C., Siebner H., Ulmer S., Jansen O. Effectorindependent representations of simple and complex imagined finger movements: a combined fMRI and TMS study. *Eur. J. Neurosci.*, **18**: 3375-3387, 2003.

- Laureys S., Owen A.M., Schiff N.D. Brain function in coma, vegetative state, and related disorders. *Lancet Neurol.*, **3**: 537-546, 2004.
- Laureys S. and Boly M. The changing spectrum of coma. *Nat. Clin. Prac. Neurol.*, **4**: 544-546, 2008.
- Laureys S., Celesia G.G., Cohadon F., Lavrijsen J., León-Carrión J., Sannita W.G., Sazbon L., Schmutzhard E., von Wild K.R., Zeman A., Dolce G.; European Task Force on Disorders of Consciousness. Unresponsive wakefulness syndrome: a new name for the vegetative state or apallic syndrome. *BMC Med.*, 8: 68, 2010.
- Laureys S. and Schiff N.D. Coma and consciousness: Paradigms (re)framed by neuroimaging. *Neuroimage*, in press.
- Lehembre R., Gosseries O., et al. Electrophysiological investigations of brain function in coma, vegetative and minimally conscious patients. *Arch. Ital. Biol.*, this volume.
- Løvstad M., Frøslie K.F., Giacino J.T., Skandsen T., Anke A., Schanke A.K. Reliability and diagnostic characteristics of the JFK coma recovery scalerevised: exploring the influence of rater's level of experience. J. Head Trauma Rehabil., 25: 349-356, 2010.
- Massimini M., Ferrarelli F., et al. Cortical mechanisms of loss of consciousness: insight from TMS/ EEG studies. *Arch. Ital. Biol.*, this volume.
- Monti M.M., Coleman M.R., Owen A.M. Executive functions in the absence of behavior: functional imaging of the minimally conscious state. *Prog. Brain. Res.* **177**: 249-260, 2009.
- Monti M.M., Vanhaudenhuyse A., Coleman M.R., Boly M., Pickard J.D., Tshibanda L., Owen A.M., Laureys S. Willful modulation of brain activity in disorders of consciousness. *N. Engl. J. Med.*, **362**: 579-589, 2010.
- Owen A.M., Coleman M.R., Boly M., Davis M.H., Laureys S., Pickard J.D. Detecting awareness in the vegetative state. *Science*, **313**: 1402, 2006.
- Owen A.M., Coleman M.R., Boly M., Davis M.H., Laureys S., Pickard J.D. Response to Comments on "Detecting awareness in the vegetative state". *Science*, **315**: 1221, 2007.
- Sanders R.D., Tononi G., Laureys S., Sleigh J.W. Unresponsiveness not equal Unconsciousness. *Anesthesiology*, **116**: 946-959, 2012
- Schnakers C., Perrin F., Schabus M., Majerus S., Ledoux D., Damas P., Boly M., Vanhaudenhuyse A., Bruno M.A., Moonen G., Laureys S. Voluntary brain processing in disorders of consciousness. *Neurology*, **71**: 1614-1620, 2008.

- Schnakers C., Vanhaudenhuyse A., Giacino J., Ventura M., Boly M., Majerus S., Moonen G., Laureys S. Diagnostic accuracy of the vegetative and minimally conscious state: clinical consensus *versus* standardized neurobehavioral assessment. *BMC Neurol.*, **9**: 35, 2009.
- Schnakers C., Chatelle C., Majerus S., Gosseries O., De Val M., Laureys S. Assessment and detection of pain in noncommunicative severely brain-injured patients. *Expert Rev Neurother* **10**: 1725-1731, 2010.
- Schnakers C. Clinical assessment of patients with disorders of consciousness. Arch. Ital. Biol., this volume.
- Seel R.T., Sherer M., Whyte J., Katz D.I., Giacino J.T., Rosenbaum A.M., Hammond F.M., Kalmar K., Pape T.L., Zafonte R., Biester R.C., Kaelin D., Kean J., Zasler N. Assessment scales for disorders of consciousness: evidence-based recommendations for clinical practice and research. Arch. Phys. Med. Rehabil., 91: 1795-1813, 2010.
- Sergent C. and Naccache L. Imaging neural signatures of consciousness: 'What', 'When', 'Where' and 'How' does it work? *Arch. Ital. Biol.*, this volume.
- Soddu A., Boly M., Nir Y., Noirhomme Q., Vanhaudenhuyse A., Demertzi A., Arzi A., Ovadia

S., Stanziano M., Papa M., Laureys S., Malach R. Reaching across the abyss: recent advances in functional magnetic resonance imaging and their potential relevance to disorders of consciousness. *Prog. Brain. Res.* **177**: 261-274, 2009.

- Soon C.S., Brass M., Heinze H.J., Haynes J.D. Unconscious determinants of free decisions in the human brain. *Nat. Neurosci.*, **11**: 543-545, 2008.
- Sorger B., Dahmen B., Reithler J., Gosseries O., Maudoux A., Laureys S., Goebel R. Another kind of 'BOLD Response': answering multiple-choice questions via online decoded single-trial brain signals. *Prog. Brain Res.*, **177**: 275-292, 2009.
- Tononi G. Consciousness as integrated information: a provisional manifesto. *Biol. Bull.*, **215**: 216-242, 2008.
- Tononi G. The Information Integration Theory of Consciousness: an Updated Account. *Arch. Ital. Biol.*, this volume.
- Vanhaudenhuyse A., Giacino J., Schnakers C., Kalmar K., Smart C., Bruno M.A., Gosseries O., Moonen G., Laureys S. Blink to visual threat does not herald consciousness in the vegetative state. *Neurology*, **71**: 1374-1375, 2008.